

#### CENTER FOR HEALTHCARE EDUCATION AND STUDIES

## BREAST CANCER STUDY 1997 PATIENT SURVEY

### SUMMARY OF DATA EXTRACTED FROM PATIENTS' MEDICAL RECORDS

RP 00-002

**MAY 2000** 

20000814 125



UNITED STATES ARMY
MEDICAL DEPARTMENT CENTER AND SCHOOL
FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS 78234-6125

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for Public Release
Distribution Unlimited

## CENTER FOR HEALTHCARE EDUCATION AND STUDIES (CHES)

## BREAST CANCER STUDY 1997 PATIENT SURVEY

# SUMMARY OF DATA EXTRACTED FROM PATIENTS' MEDICAL RECORDS

BARBARA WOJCIK, GM-13, PhD Supervisory Statistician

MARTHA K. SPINKS, LTC, PhD Researcher

CATHERINE R. STEIN, MS Statistician (Programmer)

KATHLEEN A. MOON, MS Statistician (Programmer)

AMEDD Studies and Analysis Branch

RUTH L. BYERS, CTR Functional Operations Analyst Litton PRC Inc.

> RP 00-002 MAY 2000

UNITED STATES ARMY
MEDICAL DEPARTMENT CENTER AND SCHOOL
FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS 78234-6100

#### NOTICE

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Defense position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

#### Address of authors' organizations:

Center for Healthcare Education and Studies (CHES) ATTN: MCCS-HRC AMEDDC&S 1608 Stanley Road, Building 2268 Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234-6125

Litton PRC Inc. 16500 San Pedro Avenue Suite 302 San Antonio, Texas 78232

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### Reports may be purchased directly from the following:

Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) ATTN: DTIC-DDR Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145

Telephones: DSN 284-7633, 4, or 5 Commercial (703) 274-7633, 4, or 5

U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS) 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161

Telephone: Commercial (703) 487-4600

#### REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATE Final 1 Jan 1987 -	ES COVERED 31 Dec 1997
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Breast Cancer Study: 1997 Patient Survey, Summary o			UNDING NUMBERS
6. AUTHOR(S) Barbara Wojcik, PhD; Martha K Stein, MS; Ruth L. Byers, CTR	K. Spinks, PhD; Kathleen Moon	, MS; Catherine R.	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	AME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		ERFORMING ORGANIZATION EPORT NUMBER
Center for Healthcare Education AMEDD Studies and Analysis E 1608 Stanley Rd Bldg 2268 Fort Sam Houston TX 78234-6	Branch (MCCS-HRC)		RP 00-002
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AG HQDA, Office of the Surgeon C 5109 Leesburg Pike Falls Church VA 22041-3258		10.5	SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared in cooperation with Tur Sam Houston, Texas. General methodolgy and results of			
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY	Y STATEMENT	12b	DISTRIBUTION CODE
Distribution Unlimited; Public Use Authorized.			
1997. The survey included all w	summary of data extracted from yomen diagnosed and/or treated whose breast cancer was initially	for breast cancer at Brooke diagnosed in the period 19	Army Medical Center, Fort Sain
14. SUBJECT TERMS Breast cancer, patient survey, et	hnicity, whites, African Americ	ans, Hispanics, medical rec	ords 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 25
,			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT LINCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATI OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	ON 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACTUL

iv

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Disclaimer
Report Documentation Page (SF 298) iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS v
INTRODUCTION
METHOD
METHOD
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Breast Location and TNM Staging Components (Table 1)
Pathologic Stage, Tumor Cell Type, and Estrogen/Progesterone Receptor Status
(Table 2)
Treatments (Surgery, Radiation Therapy, Adjuvant Therapies) (Tables 3 and 4)
DISTRIBUTION LIST 8
APPENDIX 1: Laboratory" Collection Sheet
APPENDIX 2: Alphabetic List of Variables in the Medical SAS Data Set
APPENDIX 2. Alphabetic List of Variables in the Medical State Land Variables A3 APPENDIX 3: SAS Formats which Define Numeric Values of Categorical Variables A3
APPENDIX 3: SAS Formats which Define Numeric values of Categorium variables

#### INTRODUCTION

In 1997, the AMEDD Studies and Analysis Branch in the Center for Healthcare Education and Studies (CHES), US Army Medical Department Center and School, began a study entitled "Enhancing the DOD Automated Central Tumor Registry (ACTUR) Data to Develop More Precise Measures for Survival Analysis and Epidemiological Studies of Breast Cancer Patients." The first phase of the investigation was a mail survey of all breast cancer patients registered in the Brooke Army Medical Center (BAMC) Tumor Registry who met the following criteria: the patient was a female diagnosed and/or treated for breast cancer at BAMC whose cancer was initially diagnosed in the period 1987-1997. Details of the survey methodology and initial findings were summarized in a previous report. The second phase of the study was the extraction and analysis of data from medical records of the surveyed patients and is the subject of the current report. Later phases of the study, based on the merger of the survey and medical data with records from the ACTUR database, will be presented in future reports.

#### **METHOD**

As discussed in a previous report, a study population representing 96% of those surveyed, was retained for analysis. The study group (n=907) consisted of white, African American, and Hispanic women diagnosed and/or treated for breast cancer at BAMC, whose cancer was initially diagnosed in the period 1987-1997. At the time of the survey, 71% of the women were living (n=644), 29% were dead (n=258). By race, 714 were white (516 living, 198 dead), 121 were African American (77 living, 44 dead), and 67 were Hispanic (51 living, 16 dead).

A data collection form for recording the medical data on each of the surveyed patients was designed and evaluated. At the time the form was designed and printed, it was envisioned that most, if not all, of the data would be obtained from laboratory records and the form was given the title "Laboratory Collection Sheet" (See Appendix 1). However, once work was underway, it was found that the designated information was frequently not in the lab reports, but in other medical records. Therefore, hereafter any reference to the form or the extracted data will use the broader conotation "medical," not "lab."

The medical data forms were labeled with the patients' names and survey id numbers prior to fill-in of data. All forms were then completed by Certified Tumor Registrars (CTRs) from screening of BAMC medical registry records. Data collected included:

- a. Estrogen receptor assay--whether positive, negative or unknown.
- b. Progesterone receptor assay--whether positive, negative or unknown.
- c. Cell type to include infiltrating duct (or intraductal), ductal, inflammatory, Paget's disease, lobular, adenocarcinoma, medullary, tubular, papillary, cystosarcoma (phyllodes tumor), mucinous, cribiform lymphoma, spindle cell or unknown.
- d. Tumor size in centimeters (for staging of the cancer using the TNM system required by accrediting agencies--this is the T portion).

- e. Nodal involvement--how many nodes were examined and of that number, how many nodes were positive (this is the N portion of the TNM system).
  - f. Metastatic stage (had the cancer spread to adjoining organs or to distant organs).
  - g. Stage (final or M category of the TNM system).
- h. Adjuvant therapy administered to include tamoxifen, CMF, FAC, bone marrow transplant + harvest, Taxol, GCSF, 5-FU, adriamycin, cytoxan, methotrexate, none or unknown.
  - i. Radiation therapy administered to include palliative, curative, none or unknown.
  - j. Type of surgery to include lumpectomy, modified radical, implants, none, or unknown.
  - k. Breast location of the cancer, to include right, left, bilateral or unknown.
- 1. Previous primary--yes, no or unknown. (Note: If a woman had two occurrences of primary breast cancer during the study period, information on both incidences was extracted, and one record was created for each occurrence.)
  - m. Transfer of patient from another installation (using the American Hospital number).

After filling-in of the medical data forms was completed, Branch staff coded all data in preparation for digitization. Records for patients with only one occurrence of primary breast cancer during the study period, were coded "primary 1." For patients with two occurrences, the record with the earlier diagnosis date was coded as "primary 1," and the other record as "primary 2." Data entry and verification of the records were performed by a local vendor. The vendor provided a diskette containing a text file of the data plus an explanation of the file layout. After receipt of the diskette, Branch staff initially read and reviewed the text file on a PC for any problems noted by the data entry vendor and to check the general layout of the file. The text file was then transferred to a Unix workstation for conversion to a SAS data file (lab.ssd01). SAS formats and labels were created and stored for the medical data variables and preliminary summary analyses run to obtain initial information. A racial variable for the women in the study population was obtained from the ACTUR database and merged with the medical data file (output SAS data set: newmed1.ssd01, 907 observations, 42 variables). Frequency distributions were generated for each of the variables (except identification variables such as patient name and survey id number), and the effect of race examined using Chi-square analysis. Appendix 2 is an alphabetical listing of all variables with their SAS attributes from . Appendix 3 contains the SAS formats which define the coded, numeric values of the categorical variables.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Notes: Results are presented for "primary 1" records only. All percentages shown in the tables are column percentages (e.g., in Table 1, 44.09% of whites had breast cancer only in the right breast). Results are presented for all Chi-square tests that were performed, even if the test may have been invalid. A superscript letter (a,b,c,d,e,f) next to a Chi-square probability identifies the tests which may be invalid; the letter indicates the percentage of cells in the cross-tabulation having expected counts less than 5: a = 21-25%, b = 26-35%, c = 36-45%, d = 46-55%, e = 56-65%, f > 65%.

#### Breast Location and TNM Staging Components (Table 1)

Breast location of the cancer did not differ by race. About 91% of all women had cancer in only one breast, with right and left occurrences about equal. The remaining 9% of women either had cancer in both breasts or no indication of location was found in the medical records. Concerning the three factors of TNM Staging (tumor size, node category, and metastasis status), only tumor size varied significantly by race. Whites had the largest proportion of T1 cancers (43%) and the smallest proportion of T4 cancers (5%). African Americans had the least Tis (5%) and the most T2, while Hispanics suffered the least T3 cancers.

Table 1. Breast location and TNM staging components by race.

Table 1. Breast location a	and Tivi	1 Staying							
	Wh			American		panic	To		P*>X2
Variable	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	
Breast Location									0.205
Right	317	(44.09)	56	(46.28)		(49.25)	406	(44.76)	
Left	329	(45.76)	55	(45.45)		(46.27)	415	(45.76)	
Bilateral	38	(5.29)	1	(0.83)	1	(1.49)	40	(4.41)	
Unknown	35	(4.87)	9	(7.44)	2	(2.99)	46	(5.07)	
Tumor Size <sup>†</sup>									0.003
Tis	99	(13.77)	6	(4.96)	8	(11.94)	113	(12.46)	
T1	307	(42.70)	41	(33.88)	23	(34.33)	371	(40.90)	
T2	187	(26.01)	44	(36.36)	21	(31.34)	252	(27.78)	
T3	47	(6.54)	8	(6.61)	2	(2.99)	57	(6.28)	
T4	37	(5.15)	14	(11.57)		(13.43)	60	(6.62)	
Unknown	42	(5.84)	8	(6.61)	4	(5.97)	54	(5.95)	
Node Category <sup>†</sup>									0.198 <sup>d</sup>
NO	439	(61.06)	60	(49.59)	33	(49.25)	532	(58.65)	
N1	245	(34.08)	56	(46.28)	31	(46.27)	332	(36.60)	
N2	5	(0.70)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	5	(0.55)	
N3	1	(0.14)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	1	(0.11)	
Unknown	29	(4.03)	5	(4.13)	3	(4.48)	37	(4.08)	
Metastasis Status <sup>†</sup>									$0.977^{a}$
M0	649	(90.26)	110	(90.91)	59	(88.06)	818	(90.19)	
M1	36	(5.01)	6	(4.96)	4	(5.97)	46	(5.07)	
Unknown	34	(4.73)	5	(4.13)	4	:	43	(4.74)	
		, ,							

<sup>\*</sup>A superscript letter (a,b,c,d,e,f) next to a Chi-square probability identifies the tests which may be invalid; the letter indicates the percentage of cells in the cross-tabulation having expected counts less than 5: a = 21-25%, b = 26-35%, c = 36-45%, d = 46-55%, e = 56-65%, f > 65%.

#### Pathologic Stage, Tumor Cell Type, and Estrogen/Progesterone Receptor Status (Table 2)

Pathologic stage varied significantly with race, with more whites having stages 0 and I, more African Americans and Hispanics having stage II, more African Americans having stage III and more Hispanics having stage IV. Tumor cell type showed no significant differences by race, but the Chi-square test was probably not valid due to the large number of small cell counts.

TNM staging from American Cancer Society textbook of clinical oncology, 2nd ed. Murphy GP, Lawrence W, Jr, Lenhard RE, Jr, editors. Atlanta: The American Cancer Society, 1995.

However, note that in the predominant category, infiltrating duct, African Americans have a 10% higher occurrence rate compared to whites and Hispanics (80% vs 71% and 70%). Estrogen receptor status showed a highly significant difference by race. More than 50% of white and Hispanic women had positive assays compared to only 37% of African American women. Progesterone receptor status did not vary with race; overall, about 40% of women had positive assays and 28% had negative assays.

Table 2. Pathologic stage, tumor cell type, and estrogen/progesterone receptor status by race.

	W	hite		American		spanic		tal	_*
Variable	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No	(%)	No.	(%)	P*>X2
Pathologic Stage									0.048
0	86	(11.96)	5	(4.13)	7	(10.45)	98	(10.80)	
I	234	(32.55)	32	(26.45)	15	(22.39)	281	(30.98)	
II	254	(35.33)	48	(39.67)	27	(40.30)	329	(36.27)	
III	73	(10.15)	23	(19.01)	9	(13.43)	105	(11.58)	
IV	49	(6.82)	8	(6.61)	7	(10.45)	64	(7.06)	
Unknown	23	(3.20)	5	(4.13)	2	(2.99)	30	(3.31)	
Tumor Cell Type						***			0.459 <sup>f</sup>
Infiltrating duct	508	(70.65)	97	(80.17)	47	(70.15)	652	(71.89)	
Ductal	65	(9.04)	4	(3.31)	6	(8.96)	75	(8.27)	
Inflammatory	10	(1.39)	2	(1.65)	3	(4.48)	15	(1.65)	
Paget's disease	2	(0.28)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	2	(0.22)	
Lobular	51	(7.09)	4	(3.31)	2	(2.99)	57	(6.28)	
Adenocarcinoma	25	(3.48)	3	(2.48)	5	(7.46)	33	(3.64)	
Medullary	7	(0.97)	4	(3.31)	0	(0.00)	11	(1.21)	
Tubular	10	(1.39)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	10	(1.10)	
Papillary	18	(2.50)	4	(3.31)	3	(4.48)	25	(2.76)	
Cystosarcoma	3	(0.42)	1	(0.83)	0	(0.00)	4	(0.44)	
Cribiform	1	(0.14)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	1	(0.11)	
Lymphoma	4	(0.56)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	4	(0.44)	
Spindle cell	1	(0.14)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	1	(0.11)	
Unknown	13	(1.81)	2	(1.65)	1	(1.49)	16	(1.76)	
Missing	1	(0.14)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	1	(0.11)	
Estrogen Receptor Status									0.001
Negative	128	(17.80)	42	(34.71)		(20.90)	184		
Positive	369	(51.32)	45	(37.19)	37	(55.22)	451	(49.72)	
Unknown	222	(30.88)	34	(28.10)	16	(23.88)	272	(29.99)	
Progesterone Receptor Sta	tus								0.134ª
Negative	187	(26.01)	46	(38.02)	24	(35.82)	257	(28.34)	
Positive	294	(40.89)	40	(33.06)	25	(37.31)	359	(39.58)	
Unknown	237	(32.96)	35	(28.93)	18	(26.87)	290	(31.97)	
Missing	1	(0.14)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	1	(0.11)	

<sup>\*</sup>A superscript letter (a,b,c,d,e,f) next to a Chi-square probability identifies the tests which may be invalid; the letter indicates the percentage of cells in the cross-tabulation having expected counts less than 5: a = 21-25%, b = 26-35%, c = 36-45%, d = 46-55%, e = 56-65%, f > 65%.

#### Treatments (Surgery, Radiation Therapy, Adjuvant Therapies) (Tables 3 and 4)

Surgery across the three racial groups was equivalent under the military health care system. Overall, approximately 63% of women had modified radical mastectomies, 22% had lumpectomies, 8% had implants, and only 3% had no surgery. Even though radiation therapy was found to vary significantly with race, a similar pattern in curative versus pallative treatment was observed, with 3-4 times as many women having had curative compared to pallative radiation therapy.

Table 3. Surgery and radiation therapy by race.

Variable	Wh No.	ite (%)	African No.	American (%)	His No.	panic (%)	To No.	tal (%)	P*>X²
Variable	110.	(70)	110.	(70)	110.	(10)			0.0225
Type of Surgery									0.932⁵
Lumpectomy	157	(21.84)	29	(23.97)	14	(20.90)	200	(22.05)	
Mod radical	458	(63.70)	76	(62.81)	42	(62.69)	576	(63.51)	
Implants	59	(8.21)	6	(4.96)	6	(8.96)	71	(7.83)	
None	20	(2.78)	5	(4.13)	3	(4.48)	28	(3.09)	
Unknown	25	(3.48)	5	(4.13)	2	(2.99)	32	(3.53)	
Radiation Therapy									0.028
Pallative	60	(8.34)	13	(10.74)	7	(10.45)	80	(8.82)	
Curative	228	(31.71)	40	(33.06)	18	(26.87)	286	(31.53)	
None	369	(51.32)	49	(40.50)	29	(43.28)	447	(49.28)	
Unknown	58	(8.07)	19	(15.70)	12	(17.91)	89	(9.81)	
Missing	4	(0.56)	0	(0.00)	1	(1.49)	5	(0.55)	

<sup>\*</sup>A superscript letter (a,b,c,d,e,f) next to a Chi-square probability identifies the tests which may be invalid; the letter indicates the percentage of cells in the cross-tabulation having expected counts less than 5: a = 21-25%, b = 26-35%, c = 36-45%, d = 46-55%, e = 56-65%, f > 65%.

There was noticeable variation in the adjuvant therapies for women in the three racial groups. Approximately 29% of white women received no adjuvant therapy compared with 18% of Hispanics and 13% of African Americans. Overall and by race, the most common adjuvant therapies for women in the military health care system were (a) tamoxifen; (b) fluorouracil, doxorubicin (adriamycin), and cyclophosphamide regimen (FAC); and (c) cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil regimen (CMF). However, the distribution of these therapies varied by race. For white women, the therapies in order of use (Admin 1) were Tamoxifen (26%), FAC (17%), and (CMF) (13%); for African Americans, FAC (27%), CMF (20%), and Tamoxifen (17%); and for Hispanics, FAC (25%), Tamoxifen (19%), and CMF (16%). Two adjuvant therapies were administered to 31% of whites compared to 46% of African Americans and 48% of Hispanics. If a second adjuvant therapy was given, Tamoxifen was most common for whites and Hispanics compared to Cytoxan for African Americans. Three adjuvant therapies were administered to 16.1% of whites, 19% of Hispanics, and 20% of African Americans. Less than 8% of women in each racial group had more than three adjuvant therapies.

Table 4. Adjuvant therapy by race.

		hite		American		spanic (%)		otal	P <sup>†</sup> >X <sup>2</sup>
Variable*	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	NO.	(%)	No.	(%)	
Adjuvant Therapy Admin 1									0.001 <sup>d</sup>
Tamoxifen	185	(25.73)	20	(16.53)	13		218		
CMF	95	(13.21)	24	(19.83)	11	(16.42)	130	(14.33)	
FAC	124	(17.25)	33	(27.27)	17	(25.37)	174	(19.18)	
BMT + harvest	12	(1.67)	0	(0.00)	1	(1.49)	13	(1.43)	
Taxol	9	(1.25)	1	(0.83)	0	(0.00)	10	(1.10)	
GCSF	3	(0.42)	1	(0.83)	0	(0.00)	4	(0.44)	
5 FU	5	(0.70)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	5	(0.55)	
Adriamycin	34	(4.73)	18	(14.88)	9	(13.43)	61	(6.73)	
Cytoxan	13	(1.81)	3	(2.48)	1	(1.49)	17	(1.87)	
Methotrexate	0	(0.00)	1	(0.83)	0	(0.00)	1	(0.11)	
None	209	(29.07)	16	(13.22)	12	(17.91)	237	(26.13)	
Unknown	30	(4.17)	4	(3.31)	3	(4.48)	37	(4.08)	
Adjuvant Therapy Admin 2									0.007 <sup>e</sup>
Tamoxifen	78	(10.85)	10	(8.26)	11	(16.42)	99	(10.92)	
CMF	18	(2.50)	2	(1.65)	2	(2.99)	22	(2.43)	
FAC	26	(3.62)	10	(8.26)	4	(5.97)	40	(4.41)	
BMT + harvest	13	(1.81)	3	(2.48)	1	(1.49)	17	(1.87)	
Taxol	25	(3.48)	7	(5.79)	4	(5.97)	36	(3.97)	
GCSF	10	(1.39)	1	(0.83)	2	(2.99)	13	(1.43)	
5 FU	3	(0.42)	1	(0.83)	0	(0.00)	4	(0.44)	
Adriamycin	12	(1.67)	4	(3.31)	0	(0.00)	16	(1.76)	
Cytoxan	34	(4.73)	18	(14.88)	8	(11.94)	60	(6.62)	
Methotrexate	2	(0.28)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	2	(0.22)	
None	1	(0.14)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	1	(0.11)	
Missing	497	(69.12)	65	(53.72)	35	(52.24)	597		
Adjuvant Therapy Admin 3				4					0.001°
Tamoxifen	28	(3.89)	10	(8.26)	4	(5.97)	42	(4.63)	
CMF	3	(0.42)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	3	(0.33)	
FAC	12	(1.67)	3	(2.48)	Õ	(0.00)	15	(1.65)	
	28	(3.89)	2	(1.65)	7	(10.45)	37	(4.08)	
BMT + harvest			1	(0.83)	1	(1.49)	29	(3.20)	
Taxol	27	(3.76)	5		0	(0.00)	8	(0.88)	
GCSF	3	(0.42)	5	(4.13)	U	(0.00)	O	(0.00)	
5 FU	4	(0.14)	2	(1 6E)	1	(1.40)	4	(0.44)	
Adriamycin	1	(0.14)	2	(1.65)	1	(1.49) (0.00)	2	(0.44)	
Cytoxan	2	(0.28)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	13	(1.43)	
Methotrexate	12	(1.67)	1	(0.83)			2		
None	2	(0.28)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	752	(0.22) (82.91)	
Missing	601	(83.59)	97	(80.17)	54	(80.60)	/52	(82.91)	

<sup>\*</sup>CMF= cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, fluorouracil regimen; FAC= fluorouracil, doxorubicin (adriamycin), cyclophosphamide regimen;, BMT=bone marrow transplant; GCSF= granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (filgrastim); 5 FU= 5-fluorouracil. A superscript letter (a,b,c,d,e,f) next to a Chi-square probability identifies the tests which may be invalid; the letter indicates the percentage of cells in the cross-tabulation having expected counts less than 5: a = 21-25%, b = 26-35%, c = 36-45%, d = 46-55%, e = 56-65%, f > 65%.

Table 4. Adjuvant therapy by race. (cont.)

	Wh	ite	African	American	His	panic	To	tal	Α.
Variable	No.	(%)		.(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	P>X <sup>2</sup>
Adjuvant Therapy Admin 4									0.175°
Tamoxifen	12	(1.67)	1	(0.83)	2	(2.99)	15	(1.65)	
CMF	1	(0.14)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	1	(0.11)	
FAC	1	(0.14)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	1	(0.11)	
BMT + harvest	22	(3.06)	2	(1.65)	1	(1.49)	25	(2.76)	
Taxol	6	(0.83)	2	(1.65)	0	(0.00)	8	(0.88)	
GCSF	2	(0.28)	3	(2.48)	0	(0.00)	5	(0.55)	
5 FU	1	(0.14)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	1	(0.11)	
Adriamycin	ō	(0.00)	1	(0.83)	1	(1.49)	2	(0.22)	
Cytoxan	3	(0.42)	0	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	3	(0.33)	
Missing	671	(93.32)	112	(92.56)	63	(94.03)	846	(93.27)	
Adjuvant Therapy Admin 5									0.860°
Tamoxifen	1	(0.14)	1	(0.83)	0	(0.00)	2	(0.22)	
BMT + harvest	4	(0.56)	i	(0.83)	ő	(0.00)	5	(0.55)	
GCSF	3	(0.42)	Ō	(0.00)	Ö	(0.00)	3	(0.33)	
5 FU	1	(0.12)	Ö	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	1	(0.11)	
Missing	710	(98.75)	119	(98.35)		(100.00)	896	(98.79)	
									0.553 <sup>d</sup>
Adjuvant Therapy Admin 6	2	(0.28)	1	(0.83)	0	(0.00)	3	(0.33)	
BMT + harvest Missing	717	(99.72)	120	(99.17)		(100.00)	904	(99.67)	

<sup>\*</sup>CMF= cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, fluorouracil regimen; FAC= fluorouracil, doxorubicin (adriamycin), cyclophosphamide regimen;, BMT=bone marrow transplant; GCSF= granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (filgrastim); 5 FU= 5-fluorouracil.

'A superscript letter (a,b,c,d,e,f) next to a Chi-square probability identifies the tests which may be invalid; the letter indicates the percentage of cells in the cross-tabulation having expected counts less than 5: a = 21-25%, b = 26-35%, c = 36-45%, d = 46-55%, e = 56-65%, f >65%.

#### **DISTRIBUTION LIST**

- Defense Technical Information Center, ATTN: Pat Mawby (Acquisition), Suite 0944, 8725 John J. Kingman Rd, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218 (2)
- Academy of Health Sciences, Stimson Library, ATTN: MCCS-HSL, Bldg 2840, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6100 (1)
- Brooke Army Medical Center, Medical Library, ATTN: MCHE-CSL, Bldg 3600, 3851 Roger Brooke Dr, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6200 (1)
- Dr. Jatoi, BAMC, Bldg 3600, 3851 Roger Brooke Dr, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6200 (1)

#### APPENDIX 1

## LABORATORY COLLECTION SHEET

#### LABORATORY COLLECTION SHEET

Patient Name		
Last	First	MI
Sponsor Social Security Number	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. ER'PR Receptor status of tumor.		
2. Tumor histology (size, nodal status, di	fferentiation. etc.)	
3. Adjuvant therapy administered.		
4. Radiation therapy administered.		
Turn of surgers		
Type of surgery		
breast conservation		
6. Additional remarks		

#### APPENDIX 2

# ALPHABETIC LIST OF VARIABLES IN THE SAS MEDICAL DATA SET

Appendix 2. Alphabetic List of Variables in the SAS Medical Data Set\*

Variable	#	Туре	Len	Pos	Format	Label
0ADJUV1	3	Num	8	253	ADJVFMT.	#1 Adjuvant Therpy Admin
1ADJUV2	3	Num	8	261	ADJVFMT.	#2 Adjuvant Therpy Admin
2ADJUV3	3	Num	8	269	ADJVFMT.	#3 Adjuvant Therpy Admin
ADJUV4	33	Num	8	277	ADJVFMT.	#4 Adjuvant Therpy Admin
ADJUV5	34	Num	8	285	ADJVFMT.	#5 Adjuvant Therpy Admin
ADJUV6	35	Num	8	293	ADJVFMT.	#6 Adjuvant Therpy Admin
ADJUV12	11	Num	8	102	ADJVFMT.	2nd Prim #1 Adjuv ther admin
ADJUV22	12	Num	8	110	ADJVFMT.	2nd Prim #2 Adjuv ther admin
ADJUV32	13	Num	8	118	ADJVFMT.	2nd Prim #3 Adjuv ther admin
ADJUV42	14	Num	8	126	ADJVFMT.	2nd Prim #4 Adjuv ther admin
ADJUV52	15	Num	8	134	ADJVFMT.	2nd Prim #5 Adjuv ther admin
ADJUV62	16	Num	8	142	ADJVFMT.	2nd Prim #6 Adjuv ther admin
BRLOC	38	Num	8	317	BRLOCFMT.	Breast Location
BRLOC2	19	Num	8	166	BRLOCFMT.	Breast Location-#2
CELLTYP2	6	Num	8	62	CELLFMT.	Tumor Cell Type-#2
CELLTYPE	25	Num	8	213	CELLFMT.	Tumor Cell Type
ERA	23	Num	8	197	RCPTRFMT.	Estrogen Receptor Status
ERA2	4	Num	8	46	RCPTRFMT.	Estrogen Receptor Stat-#2
ETHN	42	Num	8	348		Ethnicity- W H B
ETHNN	43	Num	8	356		Ethnicity- W B H
MSTAT	28	Num	8	237	MSTATEMT.	Metastasis Status
MSTAT2	9	Num	8	86	MSTATEMT.	Metastasis Status-#2
NODECAT	27	Num	8	229	NODEFMT.	Node Category
NODECAT2	8	Num	8	78	NODEFMT.	Node Category-#2
OTHRCN22	20	Num	8	174		
OTHRCNC2	40	Num	8	332		Previous Primary-#2
PRA	24	Num	8	205	RCPTRFMT.	Progest. Receptor Status
PRA2	5	Num	8	54	RCPTRFMT.	Progest. Receptor Stat-#2
PRIMN	22	Num	8	189		# Breast Primaries
PRIMN2	41	Num	8	340		
PRIMN2Z	3	Num	7	39		Secendary Breast Primary
PTID	2	Char	36	3		Patient ID number
RADIOTH	36	Num	8	301	RADIOFMT.	Radiation Therapy
RADIOTH2	17	Num	8	150	RADIOFMT.	Radiation Therapy-#2
SID	1	Char	3	0		Survey ID number
STAGEP	29	Num	8	245	PATHFMT.	Pathologic Stage
STAGEP2	10	Num	8	94	PATHFMT.	Pathologic Stage-#2
SURGTYP2	18	Num	8	158		Type of Surgery-#2
SURGTYPE	37	Num	8	309	SURGLFMT.	Type of Surgery
TRANSFER	39	Char	7	325	\$AHACDFM.	Transfer AHA Hosp Code
TRANSFR2	21	Char	7	182		Transfer AHA Hosp Code-#2
TSIZE	26	Num	8	221	TSIZEFMT.	Tumor Size
TSIZE2	7	Num	8	70	TSIZEFMT.	Tumor Size-#2
عاعدر ا	•					

<sup>\*</sup>Output from the SAS System Proc CONTENTS (June 30, 2000).

# THE THE PARTY OF T

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

ACADEMY OF HEALTH SCIENCES, UNITED STATES ARMY FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS 78234-6100

8 August 2000

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

Stimson Library MCCS HSL

Defense Technical Information Center ATTN DTIC-OCA 8725 John J. Kingman Road Suite 0944 Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

Dear Sir:

Please advise if additional information is needed. My telephone number is: (210) 221-6900. Thank you.

Sincerely,

KAY D. LIVINGSTON

Librarian

DTIC Account No. 25782

Kay D. Levingston

#### APPENDIX 3

# SAS FORMATS WHICH DEFINE NUMERIC VALUES OF CATEGORICAL VARIALBLES

	FORMAT NAME: <u>ADJVFMT</u>	LENGTH: 13 NUMBER OF VALUES: 12
START	END	
	1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10   11   99	1 Tamoxifen 2 CMF 3 FAC 4 BMT + harvest 5 Taxo1 6 GCSF 7 5 FU 8 Adriamycin 9 Cytoxan 10 Methotrexate 11 None 99 Unknown

	FORMAT NAME: <u>BRLOCFMT</u>	LENGTH: 9 NUMBER OF	VALUES: 4
ISTART	END	LABEL (VER. 6.11	14JUN00:14:43:26)
	1  2  3  9	1 Right 2 Left 3 Bilateral 9 Unknown	     

	FORMAT NAME: <u>CELLFMT</u>	LENGTH: 14 NUMBER OF VALUES: 15
START	Į END	
	1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10   11   12   13	1 Infilt duct 2 Ductal 3 Inflammatory 4 Paget's diseas 5 Lobular 6 Adenocarcinoma 7 Medullary 8 Tubular 9 Papillary 10 Cystosarcoma 11 Mucinous ca 12 Cribiform 13 Lymphoma
	14  99	14 Spindle cell 99 Unknown

	FORMAT NAME: MSTATEMT	LENGTH: 15 NUMBER OF VALUES: 3
START	END	LABEL (VER. 6.11
	0  1  9	0 No distant mets 1 Distant mets 9 Unknown

j	FORMAT NAME: <u>NODEFMT</u>	LENGTH: 37 NUMBER OF VALUES: 5
START	END	LABEL (VER. 6.11
	0  1  2  3  9	O No regional lymph node mets 1 Mets to movabl.ipsil.axil lymph nodes   2 Mets to ipsil.axil nodes fix. to othr   3 Mets to ipsil.int. mamm node structur   9 Unknown

1		FORMAT NAME: OTHR2CNC	LENGTH:	7	NUMBER OF	VALUES:	3	
10	START	END	LABEL	()	VER. 6.11	14JUN00:	14:43:26)	!
		1  2  9	1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknow	/n				

:	FORMAT NAME: <u>PATHEMT</u>	LENGTH: 9 NUMBER OF VALUES: 6
START	END	LABEL (VER. 6.11
	0  1  2  3  4  9	<pre>0 Stage 0 1 Stage I 2 Stage II 3 Stage III 4 Stage IV 9 Unknown</pre>

	FORMAT NAME: PRIMNE	LENGTH: 17 NUMBER OF VALUES: 2
START	END	
	1  2	1 Breast primary 2 Secondary primary

	FORMAT NAME: <u>RACEN</u>	LENGTH: 8 NUMBER OF VALUES: 3
ISTART	END	LABEL (VER. 6.11 14JUN00:14:43:26)
	1  2  3	1 White 2 Black 3 Hispanic

	FORMAT NAME: RADIOFMT	LENGTH: 9 NUMBER OF VALUES: 4
START	END	LABEL (VER. 6.11 14JUN00:14:43:26)
	1  2  3  9	1 Pallative 2 Curative 3 None 9 Unknown

	FORMAT NAME: <u>RCPTRFMT</u>	LENGTH: 8 NUMBER OF VALUES: 3 i
START	END	LABEL (VER. 6.11
	0  1  9	O Negitive 1 Positive 9 Unknown

	FORMAT NAME: <u>SURGLEMT</u>	LENGTH: 11 NUMBER OF VALUES: 5
START	END	LABEL (VER. 6.11 14JUN00:14:43:26)
	1  2  3  4  9	1 Lumpectomy 2 Mod radical 3 Implants 4 None 9 Unknown

ļ F0	RMAT NAME: <u>TSIZEF</u>	MT LENGTH: 22 NUMBER OF VALUES: 6
START	END	LABEL (VER. 6.11 14JUN00:14:43:26)
	0  1  2  3  4  9	<pre>0 In situ 1 2 cm or less 2 &gt; 2 cm but not &gt; 5 cm 3 &gt; 5cm 4 Any w/ext ch.wall/skin 9 Unknown</pre>

FORM	AT NAME: <u>\$AHACDFM</u>	LENGTH: 26 NUMBER OF VALUES: 129
START	I END	LABEL (VER. 6.11
	+	
6110385	6110385	Loring AFB USAF Hosp
6120355	6120355	Pease AFB USAF Hosp
6140935	6140935	Cutler Ar Com Hosp
6150090	6150090	Newport Naval Hosp
6160495	6160495	Groton Naval Hosp
6213995	6213995	Plattsburgh AFB USAF Hosp
6214407	6214407	Griffiss AFB USAF Hosp
6215300	6215300	Keller Ar Com Hosp
6220300	6220300	[Walson Ar Com Hosp
6220310	6220310	Patterson Ar Com Hosp
6232310	6232310	Philadelphia Naval Hosp
6310015	6310015	Dover AFB USAF Hosp
6320360	6320360	Bethesda Naval Hosp
6320390	6320390	Malcomb Grow Med Cen
6320510	[6320510	Kimbrough Ar Com Hosp
6320710	6320710	Patuxent Riv. NAS Nav.Hosp
6330260	6330260	Walter Reed Ar Med Cen
6333333	6333333	Armed Forces Inst of Path.

FORMA	T NAME: <u>\$AHACDFM</u> I	LENGTH: 26 NUMBER OF VALUES:	129
START	END	LABEL	(CONT'D)
6340090	16340090	Kenner Ar Com Hosp	
6340240	6340240	Dewitt Ar Com Hosp	
6340250	6340250	McDonald Ar Com Hosp	
6340335	6340335	Langley AFB USAF Hosp	
6340750	6340750	Portsmouth NS Naval Hosp	
6360250	6360250	Camp Lejeune Naval Hosp	
6360345	6360345	Cherry Point MCAS Nav. Hosp	
6360530	6360530	Womack Ar Com Hosp	
6360627	6360627	Seymour-Johnson AFB USAF H	
6370055	6370055	Beaufort MCAS Naval Hosp	
6370280	6370280	Moncrief Ar Com Hosp	
6370480	6370480	Myrtle Beach AFB USAF Hosp	
6370490	6370490	Charleston NS Naval Hosp	
6370645	6370645	Shaw AFB USAF Reg Hosp	
6380375	6380375	Eisenhower Ar Med Cen	
6380378	6380378	Winn Ar Com Hosp	
6380580	6380580	Martin Ar Com Hosp	
6380770	6380770	Robins AFB USAF Hosp	
6381195	6381195	Moody AFB USAF Hosp	
6390096	6390096	Patrick AFB USAF Hosp	
6390303	6390303	Homestead AFB USAF Hosp	
6390410	6390410	Jacksonville NAS Nav. Hosp	
6390715	6390715	Orlando NTC Naval Hosp	
6390790	6390790	Tyndall AFB USAF Hosp	
6390840	6390840	Pensacola NAS Naval Hosp	
6391102	16391102	MacDill AFB USAF Reg Hosp	
6391118	6391118	Eglin AFB USAF Reg Hosp	
6411218	6411218	Wright-Patterson Med Cen	
6420385	16420385	Hawley Ar Com Hosp	
6430205	6430205	Scott Med Cen	
6431820	6431820	Great Lakes NTC Naval Hosp	
6432720	16432720	Chanute AFB USAF Hosp	
6441535	6441535	K.I. Sawyer AFB USAF Hosp	
6442015	6442015	Wurtsmith AFB USAF Hosp	
6510175	6510175	Blanchfield Ar Com Hosp	
6510180	[6510180	Ireland Ar Com Hosp	
6520840	6520840	Millington NAS Naval Hosp	
6530316	16530316	Lyster Ar Com Hosp	
6530450	16530450	Noble Ar Com Hosp	
6530525	6530525	Fox Ar Com Hosp	
6530735	6530735	Maxwell AFB USAF Hosp	
6540060	16540060	Keesler Med Cen	
6540204	16540204	[Columbus AFB USAF Hosp	
6630195	16630195	Leonard Wood Ar Com Hosp	
6631295	6631295	Whiteman AFB USAF Hosp	

FORMAT NA	ME: \$AHACDFM LENG 1 MAX LENGTH:	TH: 26 NUMBER OF VALUES: 17 40 DEFAULT LENGTH 26 FUZZ:	
START	END	LABEL	(CONT'D)
6640251	6640251	Grand Forks AFB USAF Hosp	
6640335	6640335	Minot AFB USAF Reg Hosp	!
6650505	6650505	Ellsworth AFB USAF Hosp	
16660730	6660730	Ehrling Bergquist Hosp	
6670230	6670230	Munson Ar Com Hosp	
6670250	6670250	Irwin Ar Com Hosp	!
6671140	6671140	McConnell AFB USAF Hosp	
6710057	6710057	Blytheville AFB USAF Hosp	I
6710313	[6710313	Little Rock AFB USAF Hosp	I
6720060	16720060	England AFB USAF Hosp	- 1
6720241	6720241	Bayne-Jones Ar Com Hosp	1
16720870	6720870	Barksdale AFB USAF Hosp	
16730025	16730025	Altus AFB USAF Hosp.	1
16730385	6730385	Tinker AFB USAF Hosp	1
16730410	16730410	Reynolds Ar Com Hosp	1
16730835	6730835	Tinker AFB USAF Hosp	1
16740033	16740033	Dyess AFB USAF Hosp	I
6740210	6740210	Bergstrom AFB USAF Hosp	
16740780	6740780	Corpus Christi NAS NavHosp	1
6741138	6741138	Laughlin AFB USAF Hosp	1
6741320	6741320	Wm Beaumont Ar Med Cen	1
6741375	6741375	Darnall Ar Com Hosp	1
6741380	6741380	Brooke Ar Med Cen	1
6741485	6741485	Carswell AFB USAF Reg Hosp	1
6742378	6742378	Reese AFB USAF Hosp	1
6743125	6743125	Wilford Hall Med Cen	1
6743765	6743765	Sheppard AFB USAF Reg Hosp	1
6810255	6810255	Malmstrom AFB USAF Hosp	1
6820235	16820235	Mountain Home AFB USAF Hos	1
6830055	6830055	F.E. Warren AFB USAF Hosp	1
6840090	16840090	Evans Ar Com Hosp	
6840310	16840310	Fitzsimons Ar Med Cen	
6840945	16840945	USAF Academy Hosp	1
6850005	16850005	Holloman AFB USAF Hosp	1
6850075	6850075	Kirtland AFB USAF Hosp	
6850155	6850155	Cannon AFB USAF Hosp	
6860030	6860030	Williams AFB USAF Hosp	
6860095	6860095	Raymond Bliss Ar Com Hosp	1
6860300	6860300	Luke AFB USAF Hosp	
6860515	6860515	Davis-Monthan AFB USAF Hos	1
6870095	6870095	Hill AFB USAF Hosp	1
6880063	6880063	Nellis AFB USAF Hosp	1
6910120	6910120	Bremerton Naval Hosp	1
6910443	6910443	Oak Harbor Naval Hosp	

FORMAT NA   MIN LENGTH:	ME: \$AHACDFM LENG 1 MAX LENGTH:	GTH: 26 NUMBER OF VALUES: 129 40 DEFAULT LENGTH 26 FUZZ:	9
START	END	LABEL	(CONT'D)
	6911003   6911030   6930235   6930735   6930760   6931186   6931310   6931371   6931885   6931925   6932033   6932250   6932250   6932270   6932840   6932840   6932970   6933845   6940055   6940066	Fairchild AFB USAF Hosp   Madigan Ar Med Cen   Weed Ar Com Hosp   David Grant Med Cen   Silas B. Hays Ar Com Hosp   Lemoore NAS Naval Hosp   Vandenberg AFB USAF Hosp   Long Beach NS Naval Hosp   Beale AFB USAF Hosp   Castle AFB USAF Hosp   Camp Pendleton Naval Hosp   Camp Pendleton Naval Hosp   March AFB USAF Reg Hosp   Mather AFB USAF Hosp   San Diego Naval Hosp   Letterman Ar Med Cen   George AFB USAF Hosp   Elmendorf AFB USAF	
199	99	[Civilian, unknown	